

# Classification

## 7th Global Trajectory Optimisation Competition

Rank	Team No.	Team	J	J', kg
1	19	JPL	36	2450.3
2	22	ACT/ESA-ISAS	35	2502.2
3	3	Un. Texas	35	2493.0
4	21	CAS	32	2509.7
5	4	Tsinghua Un.	32	2457.0
6	36	RIAME-MAI	31	2674.5
7	30	Polimi-Soton-Dinamica	31	2462.9
8	24	Aerospace C.	30	2442.9
9	29	NASA Langley-An.Mech.Ass	29	2875.4
10	6	Colorado Un.	28	2737.1
11	15	Beihang Un.	27	2537.5
12	10	Xi'an SCC	27	2532.3
13	8	CASE-NUDT	27	2529.3
14	13	Odyssey S.R.	26	2736.9
15	17	Beijing ACC	26	2539.2
16	11	Nanjing Un.	25	2897.2
17	28	NASA Goddard-Un.Ill.-ai Sol.	25	2586.0
18	23	Math. Opt.	24	2818.8
19	9	Un. Trento	21	2910.0
20	5	Michigan T. Un.	20	3434.1
21	2	DLR	19	3261.1
22	7	Beihang Un.	18	2567.5
23	12	Telespazio	15	2951.3
24	14	Un. Roma	13	3586.4
-	19	Cal. Poly	3 <sup>a</sup>	2450.3
-	32	Nanjing Un.	- <sup>b</sup>	-
-	20	Nanjing Un.	- <sup>c</sup>	-

<sup>a</sup> uncorrect body positions, unacceptable solution

<sup>b</sup> probes do not return to mothership (18 asteroids visited), unacceptable solution

<sup>c</sup> unreadable solution files, unacceptable solution